

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,144	02/04/2004	Edward D. Lewis	13040-175A	13040-175A 1863	
32841 7	590 05/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAHRET & ASSOCIATES 320 NORTH MERIDIAN STREET			MIGGINS, M	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
SUITE 510	TERIDIAN STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
INDIANAPOL	IS, IN 46204		1772		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
Office Action Summan.	10/772,144	LEWIS, EDWARD	D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MANUAGE PATE of this control of	Michael C. Miggins	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	bruary 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 and 19 is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	• • •	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		•				
	armiler. Note the attached Office	Action of formal	0-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a a All b Some * c None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
•		•				
Attachment(s)	4) T Intended Output	(PTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06302005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	)-152)			
Datest and Tradeword Office						

Art Unit: 1772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 14-18 in the reply filed on 2/21/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 14-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niemuth (US 2002/0131270) in view of Chen et al. (US 6635336).

Niemuth discloses a fiber-optic mouse pad for use with an optical mouse (paragraph [0007]), comprising a pad having a top surface for receiving an optical mouse, means within said pad for collecting light emitted from the optical mouse on said top surface and means optically connected to said light collecting means for transmitting light under said top surface to a plurality of points remote from the optical mouse and for directing light from said remote points through said top surface (paragraphs [0008] – [0010]), comprising optical fibers and one reflector (paragraph [0009] and Fig. 2) (applies to instant claims 14-15 and 18).

Niemuth does not disclose that the surface is substantially opaque.

Chen discloses a substantially opaque surface (column 2, lines 54-67) and transparent patterns illuminated with light (column 1, lines 43-50) for the purpose of providing illuminated patterns on a mouse pad (applies to instant claims 14 and 18).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided a surface which is substantially opaque in order to provide illuminated patterns or indicia on a mouse pad.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose the pad further comprising a cup embedded in said pad, wherein said at least one reflector is formed as a portion of an inner wall of said cup. It is respectfully suggested that applicant add that the cup and/or the reflector is the light collection means.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner

phoole.

Art Unit 1772

MCM May 1, 2006

0